

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/643,783	08/19/2003	Christoph Boss	60130-1852;02MRA0355	4109	
26096 75	90 06/04/2004		EXAM	INER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			PEDDER, DENNIS H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			3612	3612	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		√
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/643,783	BOSS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sexually any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB.	rply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matte	·
Disposition of Claims	or Expurite Quayre, 1955 C.D.	11, 433 0.0. 213.
4) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6-14 and 16-19</u> is/are reject 7) Claim(s) <u>3,5 and 15</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 August 2003 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	are: a) accepted or b) obj the drawing(s) be held in abeyand prection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been a preau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	57	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/21/03,1/27/04. 	B) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) _·

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/643,783

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the spring of claim 12, the coupling of claim 13 and the plastic material of claim 9 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Regarding claim 9, the cross section hatching is incorrect under U.S. practice for plastic material in figure 6,8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims appear to lack antecedent to the link guides of claim 15, of which the mounting angle appears to be a subset.

Claim 17 lacks antecedent to the first connecting link bolt and the first connecting link for the recited second bolt and second link, this antecedent found in claim 16.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,783 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 1, 4, 6-7, 11, 13-14, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Grolle.

Grolle has outer skin seen in figure 8 both right and left of the slot, lid 4, holding element 9 and covering element 19 removed from the slot by upward deflection. The preamble to "module" is not treated upon art as not giving life to any aspect of the body of the claim.

As to claim 4, see body to the right of strip 19 in figure 8.

As to claim 6, see seal 19.

As to claim 7, the seal is at the tip end of strip 19.

As to claim 11, the seal is contacted.

As to claim 13, the forward edge of the seal 19 is a coupling formation.

As to claim 19, the claimed movement is seen in figures 1-8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,783

Art Unit: 3612

- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 7, 10-14, 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grolle in view of Nabuurs.

Paragraph 7 above is incorporated by reference.

Nabuurs teaches other designs for covering elements, those illustrated in figures 6-10 and 15 being particularly pertinent in that they also are removed from the slot in the guide rail by being pushed down by the holding element for the lid. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Grolle, with a slot in the roof outer skin, a covering element as taught by Nabuurs in order to provide a rigid outer appearance to same.

As to claim 4, see body 218 and strip 211 in figures 9-10.

As to claim 6, see seal 211.

As to claim 7, see downturned flange seals in figures 9-10.

As to claim 10, color matching is an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art as an aesthetic option, this disclosed by applicant on page 1 of the specification.

As to claim 11, see top contact surface also a coupling formation, claim 13.

As to claim 12, see spring 218.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,783

Art Unit: 3612

As to claim 18, the strip 211 appears to be rigid.

9. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grolle in view of Nabuurs as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Roos et al..

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in the references listed above a metal covering element as taught by Roos et al. at 25.

As to claim 9, Roos et al. teaches plastic for covering element 25'.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 3, 5, 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 16-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schuch teaches a modular roof. Sturt et al. teaches another covering element for a rail.
- 13. Applicant is reminded of MPEP 201.15 regarding an intervening reference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

Art Unit: 3612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

5/27/04

DHP